UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,666	01/15/2002	Michael E. Barrett	006560.P025	5277
	7590	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/047,666	BARRETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Sana Al-Hashemi	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	and prior to the data of filing a bring	will not be entered be					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
<ul><li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,9,10 and 12-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	ation of Appeal will not	ha antarad				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Sana Al-Hashemi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2164					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the applied art does not teach the claimed invention, "none of Edlund's weights boost a low valued relevancy score because the relevancy score itself is low". Examiner disagrees. The argued limitation is not in the claim language. Applicant argues the applied art fails to discloses the method of determining an expected click rate for said piece of information; adjusting the enhanced popularity score based in assessing actual click rate of said piece of information against the expected click rate. Examiner disagrees. The cited portion of Col. 10 lines 50-65, and Col 9, lines 25-51 discloses the claimed limitation.